## TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

May 30, 2001 LB 451

duties.

SENATOR BROMM: Sure.

SENATOR THOMPSON: And it's spread out over other people so that they have a 24/7 coverage.

SENATOR BROMM: Okay, thank you, Senator Thompson.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I think that the question just under discussion was certainly an important one. As I understand the bill, the chief...the administrator of the probation office is basically going to decide whether existing probation officers will be trained additionally to use the new instrument and to deal with juveniles specifically. And that that, in all probability, is how it will be done in less densely populated areas of the state because that's what makes sense. And to the extent that there are any probation officers who deal exclusively with juvenile intake, that would be in the cities. I feel confident that that can be worked out. The question that I would like to ask Senator Thompson or Senator Jensen relates to the fact that the need for additional probation officers, as I see it, is because you're taking duties away from law enforcement and/or judges, and focusing that work function on the probation officers. And that, therefore, we need essentially six or seven more full-time equivalents in order to over the spans of the system make up for this additional work. The question that I would like to have answered is this, in Lancaster County, in the handouts from Senator Thompson and as part of the study report, it's indicated as an example of how this system can work that in Lancaster County, over a period of time of about five months, there were 19 fewer detentions because of the use of essentially this And that number of detentions in five multiplied by the number of what it costs to keep a person in custody, plus all of the other things, seem to indicate to me an advantage to doing this. But my question is this, how is it that Lancaster County, without any additional resources, but merely changing their system, was able to effectuate this